

Dyslexia Profile for Spanish Assessment

(For use with the Batería IV, WMLS III,
TPAS, TAPS-3, PTONI, and TONI)



Personal Information

Name

Date of Birth

ID

School

Grade

Testing Date

The

Education Code

name of state

statute number or country

defines dyslexia in the following way:

International Dyslexia Association Definition (2002)

Dyslexia is a specific learning disability that is neurological in origin. It is characterized by difficulties with accurate and/or fluent word recognition and by poor spelling and decoding abilities. These difficulties typically result from a deficit in the phonological component of language that is often unexpected in relation to other cognitive abilities and the provision of effective classroom instruction.

Secondary consequences may include problems in reading comprehension and reduced reading experience that can impede the growth of vocabulary and background knowledge.

Overview of Primary Reading and Spelling

Difficulties in Transparent Languages:

Distinct reading and spelling characteristics of dyslexia are noted in transparent languages. Spanish is a transparent language, in which many of the words adhere to simple grapheme-phoneme conversion principles (de León Rodríguez et al., 2016¹). As phonological decoding is easier to master in transparent languages, differences between good readers and disabled readers can be identified based on an individual's ability to decode pseudowords and low-frequency words. Furthermore, challenges faced by readers of transparent languages are most noticeable when reading speed is measured (e.g., Serrano & Defior, 2008²; Davies et al., 2007³). Additionally, disabled readers tend to present with slow and laborious decoding skills as tasks become more complex and may have difficulty with comprehension as a function of their poor reading rate.

Regarding spelling, children with dyslexia being taught in transparent languages may require additional time to memorize phoneme-grapheme correspondences. Afonso et al. (2020)⁴ found evidence supporting a “delay in orthographic knowledge development” that influences the relative contribution made by lexical and sub-lexical information during spelling tasks. Additional

deficits present in dyslexic individuals learning in transparent orthographies can be observed in the initial spelling stages (i.e., initial letter-sound correspondence), spelling pseudowords when compared to real words (i.e., reliance on phone-grapheme conversion), and during tasks involving more complex writing conventions. Serrano et al. (2014)⁵ further note that the spelling deficit is phonological and may be observed in a “phonological-processing demanding structure” (e.g., consonant clusters and digraphs). Although the spelling of demanding structures typically is mastered by second grade (i.e., 2 years of formal spelling instruction being enough for typical learners), dyslexic individuals have persistent deficits past this point of development. Furthermore, these deficits persist despite the transparent nature of the Spanish language. Serrano et al. (2014) note that due to these challenges “children with dyslexia might remain blocked in spelling development that indirectly affects the development of orthographic word-specific knowledge.” Overall, dyslexic Spanish individuals are expected to face fewer challenges when compared to dyslexic peers being taught in opaque languages (e.g., English), but are still expected to demonstrate more challenges when compared to typical peers.

¹ de León Rodríguez D, Buetler KA, Eggenberger N, et al. The Impact of Language Opacity and Proficiency on Reading Strategies in Bilinguals: An Eye Movement Study. *Front Psychol.* 2016;7:649. Published 2016 May 6. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00649

² Serrano, F., & Defior, S. (2008). Dyslexia speed problems in a transparent orthography. *Annals of dyslexia*, 58(1), 81-95.

³ Davies, R., Cuetos, F., & Glez-Seijas, R. M. (2007). Reading development and dyslexia in a transparent orthography: A survey of Spanish children. *Annals of dyslexia*, 57(2), 179-198.

⁴ Afonso, O., Suárez-Coalla, P., & Cuetos, F. (2020). Writing impairments in Spanish children with developmental dyslexia. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 53(2), 109-119.

⁵ Serrano, F., Defior, S., Arfé, B., Dockrell, J., & Berninger, V. (2014). Written spelling in Spanish-speaking children with dyslexia. *Writing Development in Children with Hearing Loss, Dyslexia, Or Oral Language Problems: Implications for Assessment and Instruction*.

Area Tested	Battery	Cluster/Test	Standard Score (SS) Scaled Score (ss ^a)	Percentile Rank	SS/PR Classification ss/PR Classification	RPI ^b
Primary Reading and Spelling Difficulties	Auditory Attention Screener	TAPS-3: SBE	Auditory Figure Ground Screener			
	Letter- Sound Associations	Letter-Sound Assessment (EC-Elementary)	Letter names: <i>Poor Typical Advanced</i>			
			Case: Lower /27			
			Case: Upper /27			
			Letter names: <i>Poor Typical Advanced</i>			
	Basic Reading Skills	Batería IV ACH	Consonants			
			Vowels			
		WMLS III	Test 1: Identificación de letras y palabras			/90
			Test 7: Análisis de palabras			/90
			Test 5: Letter-Word Identification			/90
	Reading Fluency	Batería IV ACH	Test 8: Lectura oral			/90
			Test 9: Fluidez en lectura de frases			/90
	Reading /Rate	Batería IV ACH	Test 9: Fluidez en lectura de frases			/90
	Prosody	Batería IV ACH	Prosody in Context (Batería IV ACH):			
			<i>Poor Typical Advanced</i>			
	Spelling	Batería IV ACH	Test 3: Ortografía			/90
			Spelling in Context:			
			<i>Poor Typical Advanced</i>			
			(Test 6: Expresión de lenguaje escrito)			
		WMLS III	Test 7: Dictation			/90
			Prosody in Context (WJ IV ACH):			
			<i>Poor Typical Advanced</i>			
			(Written Language Expression)			
	Phoneme - Grapheme Knowledge	WJ IV ACH	Phoneme-Grapheme Knowledge			/90
	Test 7: Análisis de palabras			/90		

^a Scaled scores applicable to the TPAS and the TAPS-3: SBE.^b RPI is described in the notes section.

Area Tested	Battery	Cluster/Test	Standard Score (SS) Scaled Score (ss ^a)	Percentile Rank	SS/PR Classification ss/PR Classification	RPI ^b
Secondary Reading and Writing Difficulties	Reading Comprehension	WJ IV ACH	Reading Comprehension			/90
			Test 4: Comprensión de textos			/90
			Test 12: Rememoración de lectura			/90
		WMLS III	Test 6: Passage Comprehension			/90
Written Expression	Written Expression	Batería IV ACH	Written Expression			/90
			Test 6: Expresión de lenguaje escrito			/90
			Test 11: Fluidez en escritura de frases			/90
		WMLS III	Test 8: Written Language Expression			/90

Area Tested	Battery	Test Date	Cluster/Composite Test	Standard Score (SS) Scaled Score (ss)	Percetile Rank	SS/PR Classification	RPI ⁶
Auditory Processing	Batería IV COG		Auditory Processing				/90
			Test 5: Procesamiento fonético				/90
			Test 12: Repetición de palabras sin sentido				/90
	Batería IV ACH		Test 7: Análisis de palabras				
	TPAS		Initial Sounds				
			Final Sounds				
			Rhyming Words				
			Deletion				
	TAPS-3: SBE		Basic Phonological Skills Index				
			Subtest 1: Word Discrimination				
			Subtest 2: Phonological Segmentation				
			Subtest 3: Phonological Blending				
			Auditory Cohesion				
			Subtest 8: Auditory Comprehension				
			Subtest 9: Auditory Reasoning				
Orthographic Awareness	Batería IV COG		Test 4: Pareo de letras idénticas				/90
			Test 11: Pareo de números idénticos				/90
	Batería IV ACH		Test 1: Identificación de letras y palabras				/90
			Test 3: Ortografía				/90
			Test 7: Análisis de palabras				/90
	WMLS III		Test 5: Letter-Word Identification				/90

Section II: Formal Testing Results

Cognitive and Linguistic Abilities: Possible Contributing Factors	Area Tested	Battery	Test Date	Cluster/Composite Test or Subtest	Standard Score (SS) Scaled Score (ss)	Percentile Rank	SS/PR Classification	RPI ⁶
Memory		TAPS-3: SBE		Auditory Memory				
				Subtest 4: Number Memory Forward				
				Subtest 5: Number Memory Reversed				
				Subtest 6: Word Memory				
				Subtest 7: Sentence Memory				
		Batería IV COG		Short-Term Working Memory				/90
				Test 3: Atención verbal				/90
				Test 10: Inversión de números				/90
	Rapid Naming	Batería IV COG		Test 14: Rapidez en la identificación de dibujos				/90
	Processing Speed	Batería IV COG		Cognitive Processing Speed				/90
				Test 4: Pareo de letras idénticas				/90
				Test 13: Cancelación de pares				/90
				Perceptual Speed				/90
		Batería IV COG		Test 4: Pareo de letras idénticas				/90
			Test 11: Pareo de números idénticos				/90	

Cognitive and Linguistic Abilities:
Possible Contributing Factors/Comments

Area Tested	Battery	Cluster/Test	Standard Score (SS) Scaled Score (ss)	Percentile Rank	SS/PR Classification	RPI ⁶
General Intelligence		Habilidad Intelectual General (GIA) Test 1: Vocabulario oral (Gc) Test 2: Series numéricas (Gf) Test 3: Atención verbal (Gwm) Test 4: Pareo de letras idénticas (Gs) Test 5: Procesamiento fonético (Ga) Test 6: Rememoración de cuentos (Glr) Test 7: Visualización (Gv)				/90
Reasoning		Gf-Gc Combinado Test 1: Vocabulario oral (Gc) Test 2: Series numéricas (Gf) Test 8: Información general (Gc) Test 9: Formación de conceptos (Gf)				/90
		PTONI (for EC) Nonverbal Intelligence Index TONI (for 7+) Nonverbal Intelligence Index				/90
Brief Intelligence Composite (for re-evaluations or screening assessments)		Habilidad intelectual breve Test 1: Vocabulario oral (Gc) Test 2: Series numéricas (Gf) Test 3: Atención verbal (Gwm)				/90
Oral Language		Oral Expression Test 3: Picture Vocabulary Test 4: Oral Language Expression Listening Skills Test 1: Analogies Test 2: Oral Comprehension				/90

Ability to Learn When Reading is Not Required

Area Tested	Battery	Cluster/Test	Standard Score (SS) Scaled Score (ss)	Percetile Rank	SS/PR Classification	RPI ⁶
Oral Language	Batería IV COG	Vocabulary Test 1: Vocabulario oral				/90
Mathematics	Batería IV ACH	Math Calculation Skills Test 5: Cálculo Test 10: Fluidez en datos matemáticos				/90
		Math Problem Solving Test 2: Problemas aplicados Test 13: Números matrices				/90
Knowledge	Batería IV COG	Test 8: Información general				/90

Evaluator

Date

A. Primary and Secondary Reading, Spelling, and Writing Difficulties / Check the areas of concern

Primary Reading and Spelling Difficulties		Secondary Reading and Writing Difficulties
Letter-sound associations	Basic reading skills	Reading comprehension
Letter names*	Sight word identification	Written expression
Letter sounds	Phonics (nonword/word decoding)	
Reading fluency and rate	Spelling in isolation in context	

B. Cognitive and Linguistic Abilities: Possible Contributing Factors / Check the areas that are possible contributing factors.

Phonological awareness	Orthographic awareness	Memory Auditory memory span Short-term working memory	Rapid naming Processing speed
Auditory processing			
Phonetic coding			

C. Ability to Learn When Reading is Not Required / Check the areas that are significantly higher than the individual's reading and spelling skills.

Cognitive Abilities	Oral Language	Mathematics	Knowledge
General Intelligence Reasoning	Oral expression Listening comprehension Vocabulary	Math calculation skills Math problem solving	General information

D. At-Risk Indicators / Check the areas below that are additional at-risk factors.

Family history	Early speech-language concerns	Native Language Proficiency
----------------	--------------------------------	-----------------------------

Committee Consideration

Data demonstrate characteristics of dyslexia.	Data do not demonstrate characteristics of dyslexia.	Data demonstrate characteristics of dyslexia; however, these characteristics would not be consistent with [State] guidelines for the identification of dyslexia.
---	--	--

* Knowing the letter names in Spanish is not necessary for reading. As a result, the names of the letters of the alphabet may not be taught. Examiners should be aware of instructional content when interpreting results.

Determination of Characteristics of Dyslexia for Committee Consideration/Additional Comments

1. The Dyslexia Profile for Spanish Assessment was adapted for use with the Batería IV, WMLS III, TPAS, TAPS-3, PTONI, and TONI. **The original Dyslexia Profile was created by Proctor et al. (2017; see copyright in footer). This Dyslexia Profile includes other measures outside of the WJ IV Suite of Assessments for consideration when evaluating for Dyslexia.**
2. According to Wendling et al. (2019), it is recommended that examiners assessing ELs be knowledgeable about issues related to second language acquisition, the cross-linguistic assessment process, and the interpretation of test results for the population they are assessing. Furthermore, examiners should have expertise in native language attrition, language dominance, cross-linguistic transfer of learning, and the impact of special language programming and sociocultural factors on language learning. Wendling et al. (2019) further note that the examiner must be capable of interpreting garnered data in the context of the amount and quality of the exposure an individual received in the assessed language(s). Other common factors (e.g., age, affect, language used at home, school, and within the community, educational history, socioeconomic status) should also be investigated, as they might impact language learning. **Additional details regarding assessment guidelines can be found in the Batería IV and WMLS III Examiner's Manuals, in addition to the Dyslexia Assessment Plan for Evaluating Spanish Speakers.**
3. Auditory processing is a broad CHC ability which concerns the ability to encode, synthesize, and distinguish between auditory stimuli. It encompasses other skills critical to literacy success (e.g., phonological awareness, phonological processing, phonological sensitivity, and phonetic coding).
4. Phonological processing appears to be the principal and universal deficit in children and adults with dyslexia. In Spanish-speaking children, those struggling with dyslexia may work very slowly on phonological tasks and may also present with labored mastery of the alphabetic principle. Weaknesses in phonological processing can impact higher-order functions, such as automaticity and reading comprehension.
5. Working memory involves the ability to hold information in immediate awareness while manipulating or transforming the information in some fashion. Intact working memory is needed for proper reading and spelling development and later literacy success.
6. Another key predictor of dyslexia in transparent languages is rapid naming (e.g., Youman & Mather, 2020; López-Escribano et al., 2018).
7. According to Suárez-Coalla et al. (2014), "dyslexic children of transparent orthographic systems have problems in developing orthographic representations of words." Orthographic processing, or one's memory for letter and/or symbol sequences, lends itself to direct, accurate, and fluent reading. Suárez-Coalla et al. (2014) infer that poor orthographic processing informs difficulty developing orthographic representations of text, which in turn, impacts reading fluency.
8. Assessing CALP is necessary when evaluating Spanish-speaking examinees, as it provides examiners with an indication of whether they have the skills needed to perform academic tasks and can be assessed appropriately in another language (e.g., English). CALP is the level of language proficiency that emerges and becomes distinctive with formal schooling (Cummins, 1984).
9. The Relative Proficiency Index (RPI) describes the likelihood of success on material that average age- (or grade-) peers can handle with 90% success. The RPI allows for a basis of interpretation focused on proficiency and functionality, rather than relative standing (offered by Standard Scores and Percentile Ranks). The RPI score is discussed in detail in the Scores and Interpretation chapter of the Examiner's Manual.
10. Knowing the letter names in Spanish is not necessary for reading. As a result, the names of the letters of the alphabet may not be taught. Examiners should be aware of instructional content when interpreting results. To assess an examinee's letter knowledge of the alphabet, it is recommended that a Letter and Sound Assessment be conducted. A Letter and Sound Assessment is a measure of upper-case letter identification, lower-case letter identification, and letter-sound correspondence of the letters in a target language. In the context of Spanish, this assessment would require a student to name all 27 letters in the Spanish alphabet, in both upper- and lower-case form as well as provide the speech sound for each letter. Note that in Spanish, knowledge of the letter names is not necessary to read. The purpose of this assessment would be to determine which letters the examinee knows and how they identify them. It is possible to divide the number incorrect by the total numbers to calculate the percentage correct. This percentage can be compared to other sources of data (e.g., curriculum benchmarks, prior letter identification assessment performance, etc.) to determine if performance is atypical compared to established standards.

Adapted from Dyslexia Profile, © 2017 C. Proctor, N. Mather, T. Stephens-Pisecco, and L. E. Jaffe

References

- Wendling, B.J., Mather, N., & Schrank, F.A. (2019). Examiner's Manual. Batería IV Woodcock-Munoz: Preubas de habilidades cognitivas. Itasca, IL: Riverside Assessments, LLC.
- Youman, M., & Mather, N. (2020). Cognitive correlates of basic reading skills in spanish-speaking english language learners: Implications for dyslexia assessment. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 24(4), 406-418.
- López-Escribano, C., Ivanova, A., & Shtereva, K. (2018). Rapid Automatized Naming (RAN) and Vocabulary are significant predictors of reading in consisting orthographies: A comparison of reading acquisition procedures in Bulgarian and Spanish.
- Suárez-Coalla P, Ramos S, Alvarez-Cañizo M, Cuetos F. Orthographic learning in dyslexic Spanish children. *Ann Dyslexia*. 2014 Jul;64(2):166-81. doi: 10.1007/s11881-014-0092-5. Epub 2014 Jul 24. PMID: 25056668